

Supported by



Columbia U. group XPs / XMPs on n = 1 feedback supporting plasma target and control development

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL I odestar MIT Nova Photonics New York U Old Dominion U ORNL PPPL PSI Princeton U Purdue U Sandia NL Think Tank. Inc. UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

V1.0

S.A. Sabbagh¹, J.W. Berkery¹, J.M. Bialek¹, Y.S. Park¹, R.E. Bell, S.P. Gerhardt², B.P. LeBlanc², J.E. Menard², J.K. Park², and many others...

¹Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, NY, NY ²Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ

> NSTX FY2011-12 Research Forum March 16th, 2011 PPPL

Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kvushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA. Frascati **CEA.** Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science

Three development tasks regarding n = 1 feedback control (actually, requiring very little time)

Development XPs submitted through ASC TSG

- 1. RWM PID / state space control to optimize low density startup (SAS)
 - Milestone R(12-3), could combine as part of a larger XP on low density plasma development (D. Mueller submitted XP) piggyback – 0.5 days
- 2. RWM PID control optimization based on theory & experiment (SAS)
 - Use present analysis of XP1023 to optimize B_r, B_p sensor gain, B_p feedback phase
 0.5 days
- 3. RWM state-space control w/6 coils checkout XMP (SAS)
 - Might be able to do in piggyback (as was done in initial 2010 RWMSC verification) piggyback-0.5 days
- Related RWM and n = 1 feedback control physics XPs have been submitted to the Macrostability TSG

XP Idea 1): Generate reliable low density startup for reduced collisionality (from XP1062 NTV XP experience)



NSTX

XP Idea 2): Optimize n = 1 RWM PID feedback settings using theoretical and experimental results



0 NSTX

XP Idea 2): Run focused variations of n = 1 RWM PID control parameters showing least agreement w/theory – akin to "system calibration"



0 NSTX

XMP Idea 3): Test upgrades of new RWM state space controller to leverage new 2nd SPA power supply

Targeted Improvements

- Independent control of the 6 RWM coils using 2nd SPA power supply
- Addition of n > 1 eigenfunction will then yield n = 1, 2 feedback, and higher n based on observer match to wall states
 - Note: inclusion of n > 1eigenfunction may improve mismatched 90 deg difference signals even without PCS code upgrade



RWM Lower B_n Sensor Differences (G)

XMP needs

Request: should be able to test in piggyback (as done with initial controller). If not possible, dedicate a few hours run time for tests

Black: measured sensor differences

Red: computed RWM state space sensors (observer)

